

# We are Apocalyptic!

BRENT BELLAMY

Evan Calder Williams. *Combined and Uneven Apocalypse*. Zero Books, 2011. 264pp.

Evan Calder Williams' *Combined and Uneven Apocalypse* tracks apocalyptic visions of the future back to their occluded origins, which for Williams is to say, back to the present moment. In different contexts, Teresa Heffernan and Slavoj Žižek have similarly asked: what if the apocalypse has already taken place, and we missed it? (Heffernan 6; Žižek 150-151). Williams' book takes this provocation seriously, theorizing and critiquing the pervasive "apocalyptic fantasies of late capitalism...in the cinema and the wider cultural, political, and economic landscape from the end of the '60s to now" (1).

Following Fredric Jameson, Williams puts pressure on apocalyptic narratives by reading cultural texts as symptoms and signposts of the contradictions of global capitalism. He declares that the symptoms accompanying crisis—specifically the 2008 financial crisis—can, and should, be diagnosed as terminal. Here, Williams depends upon a distinction between crisis, catastrophe and capitalist apocalypse that is useful. Williams maintains that crises happen as a part of the normal and smooth functioning of the capitalist mode of production. Collectively we pass through them without experiencing structural change. Unlike crises, structural catastrophe represents a broken system—an end to prosperity without meaning or hope. When compared with etymological understandings of *apocalypse* (from the ancient Greek *apokalupsis* meaning revelation or unveiling of the true order), catastrophe is an end "without revelation" (4). Finally, capitalist apocalypse involves an active recognition of the apocalyptic present—for example, a naming and acting on the 2008 collapse of financial capital as terminal for capitalism. Capitalist apocalypse

is the possibility of grasping how the global economic order and its social relations depend upon the production and exploitation of the undifferentiated, of those things which cannot be included in the realm of the openly visible without rupturing the very oppositions that make the whole enterprise move forward (8).

Given this reading of catastrophe and capitalist apocalypse, crisis is left aside as work for other analyses. The focus of this book is, on one hand, to theoretically analyze the symptomatics of apocalyptic thinking as they surface in contemporary film and, on

the other, to urge contemporary thought and action to respond and take responsibility for the apocalyptic presence in our everyday lives.

Following his introduction, Williams divides *Combined and Uneven Apocalypse* into three sections: “Salvagepunk,” “Plague in the Gears,” and “Combined and Uneven Apocalypse.” The first chapter reads like a manifesto, urging new and critical ways of seeing and knowing the present. It attends to the cyberpunk and steampunk sub-genres of Science Fiction (SF), adding salvagepunk as a third, generative variant. Williams describes the relation of salvagepunk to its predecessors in the first chapter:

To put the punk into salvage is to occupy it too well, not to stand outside the logic of the game, but to track it to its far horizons. There we see the frayed hems of a mode of thought.... [Punk] had to do with the intersection of a close attachment to its historical present with the fact that it no longer believed in a future – the present is already the hollowed-out promise of that future (32).

With a similar understanding of political time, salvagepunk recognizes the apocalyptic nature of the present, and instead of grasping a wholeness or unity, it takes up the leftovers of the capitalist mode of production that do not fit neatly into the system of which they are a part. Principle among Williams’ examples in this vein are Richard Lester’s 1969 film *The Bed Sitting Room* and the *Mad Max* films (George Miller 1979, 1981, and with George Ogilvie 1985).

Chapter 2, “Plague in the Gears,” provides a loose cultural history of zombies and zombie films. Beyond clearing up misconceptions, including the fact that fast zombies were actually an innovation of Dan O’Brannon not Daniel Boyle<sup>1</sup>, Williams reads a host of zombie movies—for example, George A. Romero’s *Night of the Living Dead*, John Carpenter’s *They Live*, O’Brannon’s *Return of the Living Dead*, and Boyle’s *28 Days Later*—tracking the historical development of what he calls the nightmare image of the day (72). He deploys ‘nightmare image’ in a twofold sense: first, zombies are a “reigning cultural bad dream” (72); and, second, they represent “an eternal present of the world not coming to an end” (72). Part of the work behind this cultural history of zombie movies involves dispelling surface readings and misconceptions common to thinkers and fans of the genre. Williams takes issue with academic and intellectual readings of zombie movies that just scratch the surface: “Simply because a film seems to point out problems of social inequality does not mean that it is a radical

---

<sup>1</sup> Williams’ description of O’Brannon’s 1985 film *Return of the Living Dead* features more than just fast zombies. It actualizes the cultural references and pastiche so common to postmodernism, entrenching both the aesthetic tenets of the zombie film and the deep symptoms of late capital.

film, or even one that is therefore ‘smarter’ and more aware than those films hell-bent on entertainment, social critique be damned” (79). In other words, for Williams there remains something to be desired in readings that take the movie’s setting, a mall for instance, to stand as a critique, of say, consumerism. Williams reads such interpretations themselves as reified thought, suggesting that lacking a theory of aesthetic or cognitive realism sufficient to the condition of late capitalist culture, “zombie films better capture the logic of the times, that opaque ‘almost-thought’ which always escapes the closure of facile critique” (86).

But the critical importance of zombie movies should not be over-read. For Williams, it isn’t that zombies no longer mean what they used to; rather, “they no longer mean what they could” (143). He identifies the problem, in the larger framework of apocalyptic literature, as a cultural blindness. These films seem to be unable to think beyond the individual, the family, or the lovers, beyond the smallest and least collective portions of human life and culture. But, Williams refuses to give up or give in to these objects. He still sees in them “apocalyptic potential” (156).

The final section, “Combined and Uneven Apocalypse,” operates doubly as a theoretical culmination and working through of the first two chapters on salvagepunk and zombies, and as a projection for and a program of action. With the term “apocalyptic potential,” Williams hopes for something that is not tied to forms of catastrophe or crisis and for a space generated by apocalyptic thought that has some level of autonomy (although I doubt he would use that word). Following the denotation of the word *apocalypse*, Williams calls for a “permanent visibility of the hidden” (156). By carrying on with his analysis through films and books, Williams reveals the nearest approximation of the structures undergirding capitalism’s totality: combined and uneven development (157). He considers such a view *post*-apocalyptic and reorients the implication of the ‘post’ from a temporal to a political axis. For Williams, a post-apocalyptic view is “a necessary optic onto the flourishing wastelands of late capitalism, the recognition that the apocalyptic event *has been* unfolding” (my emphasis; 158). At the heart of his project lies a commitment to the way things *could* be. If we are already apocalyptic, Williams’ book suggests that we begin the work of uncovering the image of the nascent post-apocalyptic subject.

An exposure to an object threatens to become, according to Adorno in “Culture and Society”, a “cultural criticism [that] shares the blindness of its object.” (27) There is a feeling that Williams’ book may at times be victim to this familiar critical tendency. I believe this closeness to the object, which at times risks becoming a problem in the text, also enables some of the more compelling aspects of the book. Of course this book, like the genre of narratives it engages, repeatedly spells out certain doom and a lack of future; but Williams shows that this need not determine how we read

apocalyptic narratives. *Combined and Uneven Apocalypse* is not the mind trap that it could be, considering the pervasive tendency of contemporary apocalyptic narratives to foreclose revolutionary thought rather than generate it.

One element of the book I find immensely useful is the work Williams does in categorizing apocalyptic narratives in light of the different types of (capitalist) crisis covered earlier. For instance, his detailed taxonomy of eco-apocalypse narratives offers a valuable contribution to the very active scholarship on this sub-genre today.

## Works Cited

Heffernan, Teresa. *Post Apocalyptic Culture*. Toronto: U of TP, 2008. Print.

Adorno, Theodore W. "Cultural Criticism and Society." *Prisms*. New York: Columbia UP, 1967. 19-34. Print.

Williams, Evan Calder. *Combined and Uneven Apocalypse*. Zero Books, 2011. Print. ---. *Socialism and/or Barbarism*.

Žižek, Slavoj. *First as Tragedy, Then as Farce*. London: Verso, 2009. Print.

---

Brent Bellamy is a PhD Student at the University of Alberta, Canada. His work focuses on the intersection of post-apocalyptic narratives and late capitalism. He is currently teaching a course on the 1980s and apocalyptic fiction. His article on the durability of the metaphor of the road in American song recently appeared in a special issue of the *Canadian Review of American Studies*.

The Apocalyptic World. 883 likes. Love the apocalypse? Us too! Send us your suggestions for awesome post-apocalyptic books to feature on our page! "We are all experiencing rather extraordinary conditions," Gov. Gavin Newsom says. There are about 15 fires burning in the northern and southern ends of the state. "We are all experiencing rather extraordinary conditions," Gov. Gavin Newsom says. There are about 15 fires burning in the northern and southern ends of the state. The Apocalyptic World. Although we are closer to the future with every day passing by, there are still many aspects of the future life that are unknown and mysterious. Many scientists are trying to predict how the city will develop and how would life in them look like in a few decades or a century. Pulp Magazine Magazine Art Ufo Pop Art Science Fiction Kunst Retro Futuristic Humor Grafico Panzer Vintage Comics. Not Pulp Covers: Photo. Pin-up, Illustrations, Advertisements, and Other Things that are Not Pulp Covers. Apocalyptic and post-apocalyptic fiction are subgenres of science fiction that are set in a time period where the earth as we know it is coming to an end. Post-apocalyptic novels almost always take place in the future, although some describe the end of past civilizations that no longer exist. What Are the Origins of Apocalyptic Fiction? Apocalyptic literature has existed for millenia. Major western religions ranging from Christianity, Islam, and Judaism contain multiple tales of a civilization or locale reaching its end. We all know how the Japanese nuclear power plant at Fukushima was destroyed by the March, 11, 2011 tsunami. That was five years ago, but in these past 5 critical years information continues to gradually seep out concerning the reality of this ongoing catastrophe. We now know there are six very large nuclear reactors at that plant. The Japanese Government has finally admitted, after years of denial/lying, that reactors 1-2-3 went into total, forever-uncontrollable, meltdowns within hours of the tsunami.