

analytical strategies. The application of high-power microscopy to use-wear analysis is a particularly important “bottom up” contribution, about which many Mesoamerican lithicists will want to learn more. Similarly, “top down” scholars will be interested in Aoyama’s discussion of obsidian availability indices, used to understand differences in the lithic consumption patterns of the elite epicenter of Copán and sites in the rural zone. Aoyama ably demonstrates that both “top down” and “bottom up” approaches are valid and indispensable ways to study ancient stone tools.

As more archaeologists turn to lithic artifacts to answer economic questions, the value of this book will only increase. But Aoyama’s work also is significant because he outlines a general methodological strategy for the study of ancient economy that can be used with a wide variety of materials. Ceramicists and other specialists would do well to develop measures similar to his availability indices, and to design sampling strategies that yield similar quantified data. All students going into the field or laboratory to study ancient Mesoamerican economy should keep this monograph close at hand.

BOOK REVIEWS

Between the Lines: The Mystery of the Giant Ground Drawings of Ancient Nasca, Peru. ANTHONY F. AVENI. University of Texas Press, Austin, 2000. xiv + 257 pp., figures, tables, notes, index. \$39.95 (cloth).

Reviewed by Donald A. Proulx, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

With the recent appearance of yet another book of spurious archaeology by Erich von Daniken (*Arrival of the Gods*, 1998) the time is ripe for a popular, scientifically based book on the famous Nasca Lines—those mysterious ground drawings or geoglyphs etched onto the surface of the desert of southern Peru. This need has been admirably addressed by astronomer/archaeologist Anthony Aveni of Colgate University, who conducted over ten years of fieldwork in Peru and is considered one of the leading authorities on the geoglyphs.

Following an introductory chapter that presents an outline of the book, Aveni proposes in chapter 2 that the Nasca Lines should be considered the “eighth wonder of the world.” The next fifteen pages are devoted to a detailed description of the seven wonders of the classical world and the author’s update of this list using other examples from the Colosseum to Mount Rushmore. This is followed by a well-illustrated description of both types of geoglyphs: the biomorphs or life forms (birds, monkeys, spiders, etc.) and the geometric forms (trapezoids, spirals, elongated lines) along with technical information on how they were constructed.

According to the author, his goal in chapter 3 (“Nasca Before Columbus”) is to “sketch the exploration of coastal western South America” and to seek clues as to why the Nasca Lines were created. The result is somewhat mixed. The first part of the chapter jumps from topic to topic such as pyramid building, agriculture, irrigation, ceramic production—with no systematic overview of the rise and fall of the Nasca Culture. No reference is made to the important Late Intermediate Period when the geoglyphs continued to be made. The chapter concludes with an excellent discussion of Inca Culture and a description of the *ceque* system of Cuzco (invisible radial lines connecting sacred locations). Aveni’s collaboration with his colleague, Gary Urton, in the study of the organization of social space and in the analysis of *ceque* lines was critical to the formation of his own views of the function of the geoglyphs.

Chapter 4 presents a thorough history of the discovery of the Lines in the 1920s and the many past interpretations of their function. These include the plausible arguments of Toribio Mejía, who compared them with Inca *ceque* lines and believed that they were meant to be walked on, and Paul Kosok and Maria Reiche’s now discredited view that the lines pointed to celestial phenomenon and represented a calendrical system. Crackpot theories—such as von Daniken’s claim that the lines were landing strips for alien spacecraft—are also enumerated and skillfully disputed. Aveni does not discuss all of the theories in this chapter, leaving his own interpretations for the next chapter.

Chapter 5, at close to 100 pages, documents the fieldwork of Aveni and his colleagues along with Aveni’s views on the function of the Lines. Much of this is a condensation and updating of data presented in his edited volume *The Lines of Nasca* (American Philosophical Society, 1990). The chapter includes Aveni’s mapping of ray centers, his term for the locations (usually on the summits of small hills) where lines intersect. Aveni’s team has mapped over 62 ray centers and 762 lines, and he argues that all of the ray centers are interconnected, forming a vast network on the pampa. These are compared to the later *ceque* lines of the Incas, and the suggestion is made that they may be prototypes of this communal ceremonial Andean activity. Following ideas elaborated by Johan Reinhard, Aveni also sees an association of some of the lines with sacred mountains (which local legends say are the source of water) and with the location of surface water. Many of the lines appear to conform to the orientation and flow of surface water in the valley while lacking any obvious astronomical alignments. Along with Helaine Silverman and Mejia, the author feels strongly that the lines were ritual pathways, meant to be walked on and perhaps used for pilgrimage to sacred locations. In summary, Aveni argues that the geoglyphs served a multitude of purposes, that they were planned, and that they served as the Nasca’s way of organizing the world around them. The final chapter is an attempt to view the Nasca Lines in a larger world context that includes

“other human attempts to move and shape earth on a grand scale” and the examination of common themes such as “visual sighting, walking and movement, pilgrimages, labyrinths, and a concern about environmental essentials.”

As with most books, this one has a number of technical flaws, not all of which are the fault of the author. Here are a few examples: on page 32 (fig. 8B) the bird shown on the pottery vessel is not a condor; figure 20 displays a mixture of Nasca and Late Intermediate Period pottery; and figure 14 is wrongly turned 90 degrees counterclockwise. Although the illustrations are plentiful and informative, it is also a shame that the American edition of this book could not include the 13 color plates present in the British edition. It is even more lamentable that our university presses cannot compete with the trade presses in producing inexpensive books with a multitude of color plates. Von Daniken's *Arrival of the Gods* has 150 useful color plates and sells for about half the cost of *Between the Lines* despite the lack of any scientific merit of the text.

Aside from the author's tendency to go off on a number of wordy tangents, *Between the Lines* is an excellent summary of everything one would want to know about the Nasca Lines: who made them, how they were constructed, their date, and their function. The book is aimed more toward the general public than the specialist (although the latter can learn much from the text as well) and is peppered with lively anecdotes and the personal experiences of the author. This book is essential for anyone interested in the Nasca Lines and the culture that produced them.

Ceramic Production and Distribution in the Chavín Sphere of Influence (North-Central Andes). ISABELLE C. DRUC. International Series, vol. 731. British Archaeological Reports, Oxford, 1998. vi + 121 pp., figures, tables, bibliography, summary in Spanish. £ 40.00 (paper).

Reviewed by Frances Hayashida, Pennsylvania State University.

During the Early Horizon (900 B.C.–200 B.C.), the distinctive Chavín style spread across a large portion of the Andes. As with other horizon styles, the precise mechanisms for this broad distribution require study and inspire debate. What was the relationship between the ceremonial center Chavín de Huantar located in the northern highlands of Peru and the distant societies where Chavín styles are found? Did objects move between societies and, if so, what does this movement (or alternately, the imitation of Chavín styles) tell us about the economies and sociopolitics of early civilizations in the Andes?

Isabelle Druc's book, a revised version of her doctoral dissertation, begins to answer these questions through a characterization study of Early Horizon pottery. In Chapter 1, Druc briefly introduces past research on Chavín and

demonstrates how her study fills a gap by providing technological and compositional information that complements previous work that tended to focus on artifact style. Chapter 2 describes the regions and sites included in the study (which were selected based on their distinctive geological environments), the presence of Chavín-style ceramics, and the availability of samples from known contexts. Samples came from five locations including Chavín de Huantar, the ceremonial centers of Huaricoto in the Callejon de Huaylas and Pallka in the Casma Valley, two domestic sites in the Nepeña Valley, and the site of Ancón on Peru's central coast. Geological descriptions and maps from the region around each site are also provided. Chapter 3 reviews the trade items (obsidian, coastal products) and “foreign”-style pottery that have been documented at Chavín de Huantar and the Chavín-style artifacts found at distant sites. A brief overview of previous characterization studies of prehispanic pottery in the Andes and ethnographic studies of ceramic production is also included.

In chapter 4, the author presents the research questions that guided her work and describes the samples and the methodology employed in their analysis. She seeks to define the different compositional groups at each site and identify the manufacturing provenience of individual samples, particularly those in the Chavín style. She additionally asks whether Chavín-style vessels show a different paste preparation suggesting their manufacture in specialized workshops. A total of 284 sherds were analyzed from a range of vessel forms (bowls, bottles, jars, and ollas or cooking pots) in fine and coarse pastes from ceremonial and domestic contexts. The largest sample is from Chavín de Huantar ($n = 126$), the smallest ($n = 22$) from Huaricoto. Very brief descriptions and black-and-white photographs of each sherd are provided. A small selection of comparative material from each site or region, including soils, clays, and modern ceramics was also included in the study. For each site petrographic groups were identified based on the inclusions identified in thin sections while chemical groups were defined based on statistical analyses (principal component, cluster, discriminant, and linear typology) of chemical data obtained using X-ray fluorescence. Discriminant analysis was also used to attribute sherds to their probable production sites. The results of these analyses are presented in detail in chapter 5 followed by a discussion and summary of results in chapters 6 and 7.

From the entire sample, a total of 11 sherds can be attributed with a high degree of probability to another site in the study. A larger number of sherds were not local to the sites where they were found, but their manufacturing provenience cannot be determined. Exchanged vessels tend to be bowls and fine bottles. The majority of attributed foreign vessels ($n = 7$) were found at Chavín de Huantar while the total number of nonlocal sherds (those with and without known production provenience) at the site is close to 30 percent. In contrast, vessels manufactured at

The Nazca Lines are giant sketches drawn in the desert of western Peru by ancient peoples. The drawings were created on such a large scale is such that the shapes can be readily discerned only from the air, leading to a variety of theories about their purpose. Perhaps the most famous theory of the Nazca Lines is that of Swiss writer Erich von Däniken. In his 1968 book *Chariots of the Gods*, he suggested that the lines were built by ancient astronauts as a landing field. He identifies the pictures as "signals" and the longer lines as "landing strips." In 1977, Jim Woodman accepted that the Nazca people made the lines themselves, but puzzled over why they would make them so big that they couldn't even see them. Peru's Nazca lines are a shocking feat of ancient artwork and an unsolved mystery that has fed conspiracy theories since their discovery in 1926. Necessary cookies help make a website usable by enabling basic functions like page navigation and access to secure areas of the website. The website cannot function properly without these cookies. Name. *Between the Lines: The Mystery of the Giant Ground Drawings of Ancient Nasca, Peru*. Anthony F. Aveni. University of Texas Press, Austin, 2000. xiv + 257 pp., figures, tables, notes, index. \$39.95 (cloth). Donald A. Proulx (a1). (a1). University of Massachusetts, Amherst. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2307/972059>. Published online by Cambridge University Press: 20 January 2017. The giant ground drawings discussed here are some of the same ones that were cited years ago as evidence that extraterrestrials had visited Earth long ago and were responsible for its civilizations. More recently, it has been claimed that they were astronomical maps pointing to various stars or the places where the sun and/or certain constellations rose or set at special times of the year, similar to what is believed about Stonehenge. The lines had already been rediscovered at ground level a few years before they were sighted from above. (Pg. 87-88). *Lines to the Mountain Gods: Nazca and the Mysteries of Peru*. Evan Hadingham. 4.3 out of 5 stars 10.