

Visions of the past: wish you had been there

Roy Thurik

Erasmus School of Economics and Montpellier Business School

Bibliographic details:

A.R. Thurik (2016), Visions of the past: wish you had been there, in D.B. Audretsch and E. Lehmann (eds.), *Routledge Companion to Makers in Modern Entrepreneurship*, (Routledge Publishing, London), 181-201, [isbn 9781138838109](https://doi.org/10.1080/9781138838109).

Document: Thurik Routledge Compentre Text V12 And Refs v7, preliminary version, not for distribution

Acknowledgement: David Audretsch, Martin Carree, Adam Lederer and Sander Wennekens gave helpful comments

1. Some introduction

It is the kind of request that simultaneously thrills and induces panic: colleagues – nay, friends – approaching you, claiming that you are “one of the indisputable pioneers leading scholarship and thinking in entrepreneurship,” then, in the next breath, ask you to write something that “clearly articulate what were your most important ideas about entrepreneurship, what led you to develop those ideas into the literature, and what has been the impact of those ideas.”

A 35-year long career in entrepreneurship research has led to many ideas: some well matured, others hardly ripened; some well cited, others completely overlooked; some hopelessly off the mark, others getting right to the heart of the matter; and some the result of hours spent alone in my office, others more a result of an evening pondering the world with wine and friends.

With these marching orders, my first inclination was to plunder my own website in order to list the published scholarly contributions that I have (co-)authored over the years. My spreadsheet is nearly 200 rows long. Starting with a 1984 publication in *Journal of Retailing* about part-time labor in various shop types, it ended with a 2016 publication in *Applied Psychology* about ADHD and entrepreneurial orientation.

At first glance, I was startled: these contributions appear to be completely unrelated – the only common thread that came to mind when I first reviewed the list was one Roy Thurik: “me, myself, and I”. Yet, there had to be more – my research had evolved. Would it be possible to identify chapters in my professional life? Playing with the spreadsheet, I tried to make it as perfect as possible: uniformity in the referencing system typically has a calming effect on me. But it did not in this case, so I resorted to doing what any one of the “indisputable pioneers leading scholarship and thinking in entrepreneurship” - would do: I started typing.

Thus the panic turned into a sense of reward: the forced introspection allowed me to realize that my professional life has bled into my personal life, with colleagues becoming friends. Looking at each row brought back memories as I found the research that stands out, as well as uncovered long forgotten papers and projects. As the rows scrolled by, memories

built, and I quickly arrived at a major conclusion: I have been very lucky in choosing fields, colleagues, work environments, networks and employers.

But would I now want to have done things differently? Maybe I should have tried to write fewer texts of a higher caliber. But developing a field often goes together with multiple attempts. Working with young PhDs – which I like tremendously – requires guiding them through the swampy morass that is peer review. Thus, aiming at lower ranked journals may be helpful. Also, there was often so much data available, waiting to be analyzed, and since journals attach no value to “internal replication,” I would have quickly have abandoned the higher caliber strategy.

2. Some more introduction

With the advantage of having “lived” a scientific career, I can see that there are three principle approaches, each with its own pros and cons: (1) one can fill in gaps in an existing field; (2) one can extend an existing field by introducing new concepts and/or connecting it to another one; or (3) one can try to discover new fields. With the benefit of hindsight, it is clear that my career business model is to try and discover new fields, but then move on after the really clever people take it over.

I hope that I contributed to retail economics and small business economics, to connecting entrepreneurship and macroeconomics, to economic behavior and biology (such as genes and hormones) and to using neurocognitive mechanisms (such as hyperactivity, addictive behaviors, hypomania) and psychopathological symptoms (such as motivational drive, preference for reward, inhibitory control) for economic behavior. Using neurocognitive mechanisms and psychopathological symptoms for economic behavior is more a promise than a reality. Still, I can already point to decent progress. In the connection between entrepreneurship and the macro economy, I feel that I did not do a good enough job. I could never convince my macroeconomics colleagues that entrepreneurship was a serious contribution to their models. A manuscript that I count as being one of the best I ever contributed to has been rejected numerous times, is still not published and – consequently – is not part of my Excel exercise. It is about adding an entrepreneurship measure to several families of existing models explaining total factor productivity (TFP) for countries over time. Maybe here lies yet another reason why a high caliber strategy is not always compatible with the quest for a new field. After reading multiple rejection letters, I got the feeling that referees never quite appreciated the novelty of our introduction of entrepreneurship into the existing models. They were more concerned about whether the latest models and techniques of their macroeconomics world were applied.

The same thing happened when I was involved in a series of papers connecting attention-deficit/hyperactivity (ADHD) and entrepreneurial behavior [192, 200]. Referees of the top journal were overly concerned about the definition and treatment of our concepts of entrepreneurship behavior – which is known material – but barely commented upon the newness of ADHD as a factor linked to entrepreneurial behavior. The frustration of a lifetime happened with our papers on genes and entrepreneurship [138, 146, 149, 160, 179, 184] which I tried to bind together in my “gentrepreneuromics” paper [195]. Indeed, we never found the entrepreneurial gene, but the scholarly management/entrepreneurship community pretended to be blind to the newness of our approach. Ultimately we moved to management and entrepreneurship journals not ranked among the highest to publish our results. This is a clear example of having to abandon the high caliber strategy.

So, I started typing and – *Accio!* – my life’s professional chapters appeared as if summoned by Harry Potter waving his wand. The many rows naturally reduced to several distinct chapters. *Ex post* self-documentation is inherently intriguing; hopefully not just for me, but also for my old and new colleagues. With the benefit having written and rereading the text that follows, I noticed that streaming through the inevitable self-congratulatory element, the loose ends are often stressed more than the solid contributions. I cannot wait for my colleagues to comment here. For the general reader, I am not so sure that the text brings much. Or maybe it brings a general lesson: work hard, be nice, be generous, and also be sure to stay lucky and healthy. Another lesson? I never put much value on contributing to finding and describing a central paradigm in the field of small business economics or that of entrepreneurship economics. I rarely tried to contribute to the endless and fruitless battles over the definition of entrepreneurship [74, 147]. Generations of students were startled when I announced that I would not begin my entrepreneurship course with a long deliberation of its definition. Instead I concentrated on its causes and consequences, always leaving its definition somewhat open. It is much more fun and effective to look at small business and entrepreneurship as phenomena having a meaning in other fields of scientific discovery, such as industrial organization, macroeconomics, epidemiology and psychiatry, and to trying to find out what that meaning is for them. By venturing out to other fields, my work seems to be hopelessly spread out. Still, it is fascinating and motivating to stick one’s nose in someone else’s field – in my case bringing my entrepreneurship construct to the table and seeing how they respond to it.

Below I will often use “I,” but for the reasons described above I have very few single authored products or solitary initiatives. So, the “I” represents some form of “we”, but since I am the only constant within this “we” over the last 35 years, is easiest to use “I.”

3. In the beginning there was productivity and pricing

How could I resist? I had just finished my studies of econometrics at Erasmus University Rotterdam (EUR), which was – and still is - a separate curriculum, with no clue how to apply my newly acquired knowledge. And then my professor of statistics, Johan Koerts, offered me a job at an independent research institute for small- and medium-sized businesses, EIM, which much later became Panteia. An abundance of data for many of these businesses was available at the institute, but only tables of descriptive data were actually printed and made public. While differences in productivity across different sized businesses were well documented, the question of why such differences existed remained unasked. Instead, the reports resorted to storytelling. This called for some applied micro-economic cross-sectional analyses. My senior colleague at the institute, Bart Nooteboom, helped me a lot. Analyzing retail business labor productivity was fun and easy. Scale effects [5, 13, 14, 19], part-time labor [1], French hypermarkets [22], Japanese stores [49, 32, 38], opening hours [53, 20] and degree of affiliation [7] were analyzed and journals were eager to print our results. I only remember two journal rejections of my early productivity work. Similar studies were done on the hotel and catering business [2], the wholesale business [28, 34], and comparing sectors [23]. After analyzing individual businesses, the road opened to also look at the development of productivity for entire small business sectors [3] and across time [16].

When my professor of operations research heard that I was working on projects explaining productivity differences in the retail sector he reacted in his very own style: “what do I hear, Roy, you are now calculating grocery shops? Hahaha. And you were always so smart and promising?” This lack of understanding with a mild twist of contempt convinced

me that I was on the right track: presumed adversity can be a stimulating starting point for an endeavor.

Amazingly, retail floor space productivity was often analyzed independently of labor productivity [4, 11, 30]. Only sometimes substitution played a role in our modeling [8, 21]. There were so many stylized facts to be discovered in this area of scale economies of small businesses and other factors determining productivity differences that several years passed before more sophisticated modeling produced papers in such journals like the *Journal of Econometrics* [9] and *European Journal of Operational Research* [35]. My PhD thesis, which I defended in 1984, consisted of eight chapters analyzing productivity differences in retailing [6]; each was published in an internationally recognized journal.

It was some time before pricing became a part of my endeavors [54, 15, 29]. It was a great inspiration to be able work with Bart Nooteboom on retail mark-up pricing and the role of costs, expectations and environmental determinants [17, 25, 27, 10, 24, 36, 39, 46, 52]. It not only led to similar analyses in other sectors, such as the hotel and catering sector [18, 51] and manufacturing [26], but also to the realization that these type of studies belong to an already existing scholarly field called industrial organization.

The day after I defended my PhD thesis and while I was recuperating from too much alcohol celebrating it, I suddenly realized that I had missed the whole point of all my projects on retail productivity. What I had found was that larger shops were always more productive than smaller ones, but I never asked why smaller shops exist, given that the scale effect is so pervasive. This question is one of the focal questions of a field called small business economics, but its time had not yet come.

4. Embedment, encounters and economics

The pioneer phase of my career happened at the Econometric Institute of Erasmus University of Rotterdam (EUR). Discipline-free empirical investigations were encouraged as long as their statistics were done in the right, rigorous, fashion. In the early days of the applied microeconomic analyses of retail firms, we found some publication shelter in areas such as marketing or retailing itself: in the *International Journal of Research in Marketing* [12, 21, 28] and *Journal of Retailing* [1, 11, 15]. Later I started to realize that industrial organization seemed to provide a better environment [37, 43, 47, 50].

Undoubtedly, the most important encounter of my entire career was meeting David Audretsch at the 15th EARIE (European Association for Research in Industrial Organization) conference in Rotterdam in 1988. He had just trained up from Dordrecht, where he had signed a contract with Kluwer Publishers to establish a new journal to be called *Small Business Economics Journal*. I had just made a deal with my dean at the Erasmus School of Economics to name the part-time chair to which I had been appointed to the year before as “small business economics.” Since then, David and I have written about forty articles together. At the same time, I have co-authored nearly thirty articles in *Small Business Economics Journal*, and I refereed at least a hundred articles, all while taking on the shadow editor role at *Small Business Economics Journal* assisting David Audretsch and Zoltan Acs. But most importantly, I have slept many nights in the “famous economist guest room” at Audretsch residences on both sides of the Atlantic.

Slowly my work became recognized in general interest economics journals like *European Economic Review* [24], *Economics Letters* [10, 26, 40], *De Economist* [18, 37, 42, 51], *Southern Economic Journal* [83], *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* [68], *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv* [46], *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics* [56]

and *Applied Economics* [67]. Not the highest journals in economics, but these initiatives helped small business economics become an accepted part of the economics discipline. It also helped me get a full chair in small business economics at the Erasmus School of Economics in the early 1990s.

The field of industrial organization, with journals such as *Review of Industrial Organization* [47, 59, 60, 85, 110] and *International Journal of Industrial Organization* [75, 91], provided an interesting testing ground of our ideas. Martin Carree, my only PhD student to receive *cum laude* and who became full professor fairly quickly afterwards, played a big role here. Our studies of entry and exit behavior of businesses were well received [55, 48, 60, 75]. I still regret that we never used the discrepancy between replacement (businesses entering because others exited) and displacement (businesses exiting because others entered) as a measure of competition in an industry. I similarly regret that I abandoned writing a paper called “storming the minimum efficient scale (MES).” Businesses can and do survive at a level of output below the MES by offering a different product or using a different production factor [85, 91] than their larger scaled counterparts. Alternatively, their existence can be understood from the standpoint that they have only limited time to survive below the MES and hence “must storm it.”

The organizers of the EARIE conferences were quick to understand the role of small businesses for the organization of industries. In 1985 our paper was rejected for the 12th EARIE conference in Cambridge because, in the words of the referees, “it was about small business and we were meant to know that small businesses were no part of the scholarly field of industrial organization.” With that in mind, the word small business economics was only conspiratorially whispered between David and me at the 15th edition in Rotterdam. There were a dozen or so small business papers at the 16th edition in Budapest, all well quarantined in separate sessions. At the 17th edition in Lisbon in 1990, empirical small business papers were an integral part of the entire program, despite the fact that the theoretical game theory papers dealing with the struggles of large businesses were considered to be the promising future of industrial organization.

5. Discovering small business economics

I have always held a small part-time position at the research institute for small- and medium-sized businesses, EIM, now called Panteia, located in Zoetermeer in the so-called “green heart of Holland.” While I was fully employed by the institute from 1977 onward, I generally spent two days a week at the Econometrics Institute of EUR as a visitor. From 1987 I did so while having a part time chair in small business economics at EUR. In getting this chair I was lucky: at the time the Erasmus School of Economics – of which the Econometrics Institute was part - was looking for scientifically coherent research programs with a well-defined problem area. The then Ministry of Science and Education wished to better organize academic research, and one way to do this was called “conditional research financing.” There was no financing involved. Hence, it was certainly not conditional, but the term had some attraction value and it meant that universities had to identify coherent programs. After defending my PhD thesis in 1984, I was dissatisfied with my research methodology despite the fact that I managed to publish all eight chapters. So, I started to look for colleagues at the Econometrics Institute who could help me do a better job by going over my work and applying more sophisticated methods. Many showed interest and the resulting initiative, called “retail econometrics,” was the ideal example of a “conditional research financing” program. As someone not on the payroll of the Econometrics Institute, since he was only a visitor, could not be leader of such a program, a part-time chair was established for me.

From 1992 my main employer was EUR, although one day a week was devoted to EIM; which I will call Panteia from here on. Peter van Hoesel, the Panteia director at the time, wanted me to stick around, so he offered me a “fellow” contract. This meant that I could do whatever I felt had to be done, which is exceptional and generous for a commercial institute. My role at Panteia evolved from being a young researcher showing that applied econometric techniques may help discriminate between the determinants of productivity in small businesses to that of scientific advisor for an entire research program on small business. In the latter role I served as *trait-d’union* between the scientific research of academia and the applied research of a commercial institute like Panteia. This role determined my view of doing scientific work and how to organize it. Without Panteia, its mission and its data sources, I would never have been able to show my colleagues at EUR and elsewhere that there is actually a field called small business economics and that I could contribute developing this field. On the other hand, without the implicit support of EUR and the wider academic world, Panteia would never have been able to keep carrying out its famous “research program on entrepreneurship and small business,” financed by the Dutch ministry of economic affairs. Unfortunately, this program was terminated in 2015, and I stopped working as a Panteia scientific advisor in 2016. It was the end of an era.

My golden days at Panteia were without any doubt the closing years of the previous century and the first decade of the present one, from 1997 onwards, when Sander Wennekens was director of the “research program on entrepreneurship and small business,” and I was his right hand man. This coincided with a series of stimulating and productive visits to the Institute of Development Strategies (IDS) at the School of Public and Environmental Affairs of Indiana University Bloomington. The IDS director was the young man I first met in 1988: David Audretsch. As a research fellow, I contributed to investigations of how geographical places perform, how to identify what needs to be done to make them better, and what the role of entrepreneurship may be [92, 144, 163]. IDS is also the place where I met Adam Lederer who was meant to play such a big role as managing editor of *Small Business Economics Journal*. A few years later, David Audretsch re-appeared in Europe, this time as directing the Entrepreneurship, Growth and Public Policy group at the Max Planck Institute of Economics in Jena, Germany, from 2004 through 2010. There I served as a visiting research professor, participating in the three Kauffman-Max Planck-Ringberg conferences, in 2006, 2007 and 2008. These were clear highpoints for scholars of entrepreneurship, economic development and public policy [145], defining markers of an era.

The Erasmus School of Economics also had an important role in creating the field of small business economics. I founded a small research group called CASBEC (Centre for Advanced Small Business Economics), I had my chair, and there were close contacts to Panteia and *Small Business Economics Journal*. Moreover, two important conferences were held in cooperation with the Tinbergen Institute at EUR. They were called the third and the fourth “Global Conference on Small Business Economics.” It was never revealed whether there had ever been a first or a second conference, or when and where they had been held. The first of the two Rotterdam conferences resulted in two special issues of *Small Business Economics Journal* [57, 58] and one in *Review of Industrial Organization* [59]. The second conference resulted in an edited volume with *Cambridge University Press* [81]. Thus, my scientific positioning moved from an orientation toward retailing, marketing [64, 69] and industrial organization to that of issues of smallness, such as structural change of industries and size distribution [45, 12, 33], specific elements of smallness such as exports [41], R&D [42, 56], competitive position [72, 91], debt ratios [61, 44], survival [79, 85], efficiency [76], productivity [84], and innovation [65]. In the adapted version of my inaugural lecture of 1989 [31] I volunteered some thoughts about what, in fact, constituted small business economics. It

could and should be executed at all levels of aggregation: firms, industries and economies. I stressed the role the entrepreneur should play in small business research. And, finally, I provided several reasons why small business economics is a relevant and important discipline. Today they seem to be a series of obvious statements.

6. The E of SBE

Still more importantly, I arrived at what would become a main theme for at least fifteen years: the interplay between small firms – and what was later termed as entrepreneurship – and the macro economy. It started off with a series of empirical publications in obscure journals like *Atlantic Economic Journal* [70] in edited book volumes published by *Basil Blackwell*, *JAI Press*, *Cambridge University Press* and *Edward Elgar Publishing* [63, 62, 77, 78, 88]. These studies show with simple means that smallness can positively affect economic performance at aggregate levels. They provided the roots for four approaches. The *first* approach was a conceptual one about the role of small firms – which was more and more frequently referred to as entrepreneurship – in the macro economy and in particular for economic growth [102, 124, 153, 74, 93, 95]. My publication, with Sander Wenekers, in *Small Business Economics Journal*, called “Linking Entrepreneurship and Economic Growth” [74] would prove to be my best cited with nearly 1800 Google Scholar hits in 2016. It also ranks first among the most highly cited articles ever published in *Small Business Economics Journal*.

The *second* approach consisted of a series of empirical single equation studies, often based on aggregate panel data, on the role of small firms for economic growth and development [166, 94, 96, 111, 112, 113, 127, 135, 147]. In particular, the two *Small Business Economics Journal* publications [112, 113] using material from the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor received many citations.

The *third* approach was again conceptual and coupled the changing role of small business and entrepreneurship with a larger change in the economic system, which was coined the switch from the managed to the entrepreneurial economy [132, 73, 86, 90, 107, 109, 183]. David Audretsch played a crucial role in helping me understand this switch and writing up the analyses. These analyses also helped better understand the role the second ICT (information and communication technology) revolution played in developed modern and developing [164] economies. It provided important material for the foundation of courses in small business economics for both students and entrepreneurs with a distinct societal flavor that I gave at the Free University of Amsterdam and at Erasmus University Rotterdam. Martin Carree and I had great fun bringing together material for the *Handbook of Entrepreneurship and Economic Growth* [125, 124], but again the economics flavor dominated.

The *fourth* approach was based upon a stylized fact: in many OECD countries, U-shaped entrepreneurship rates (business owners per workforce) can be observed over time as well as over the level of economic development [147]. This U-shape results from the fact that the entrepreneurship rate has declined since there is economic life, but this decline stopped in the early 1990s and a reversal has even set in. The resulting trough marks the beginning of the entrepreneurial economy [86, 90]. I never managed to theoretically derive this U-shape from the many interplays between entrepreneurship and macroeconomic phenomena, such as unemployment or economic growth, which separately have all been well documented [140]. However, we did some work on the U-shape or L-shape as a normative development, while deviations consequently lead to growth penalties [94, 96, 127].

7. Policy and the business cycle

My studies on the changing role of small business and entrepreneurship in the economy and society inevitably led to policy contemplations. The so-called eclectic theory of entrepreneurship [97] provided a basis with many off shoots [80, 92, 98, 103, 133, 144, 163, 161, 188]. This model is not based on real theory because it is highly eclectic in that it borrows many stylized facts from diverse fields showing the complex effects different policies may have on entrepreneurship and how then entrepreneurship influences the structure of the economy [186]. It should have provided the basis for a contemplation that entrepreneurship policy does not exist, *per se*, but that policies in general have entrepreneurship effects, but I never wrote this up. My “entrepreneurics” paper, a combination of the “from the managed to the entrepreneurial economy” view and the eclectic theory never caught much attention [145], while the simple analysis of the effect of business regulations of nascent and young entrepreneurship did catch considerable attention [128]. I tried to improve my view on regulation and compliance by contributing to an edited volume [142, 141] as well as my view on job flows in traditional service industries by contributing to another edited volume [87]. Differences between the US and Europe concerning determinants of entrepreneurship and the role of policy were laid out in a Kluwer Publishers edited volume [99], while I kept struggling with whether entrepreneurship policy existed in an Edward Elgar volume [134].

While thinking about the interface of entrepreneurship and policy, one is bound to start exploring the role of culture. This fascinating area was investigated in many publications [156, 175, 104, 154, 165, 108, 129, 130, 131]. Dissatisfaction, uncertainty avoidance and post-materialism are among the phenomena taken into account in these studies. The publications in the special issue of *Journal of Evolutionary Economics* [129, 130, 131] are particularly remarkable because one would hardly look for the role of sluggish culture effects in a journal on economic dynamics. They were well received and subsequently Springer devoted an edited volume to the full content of this special issue [154, 155]. Some studies on social entrepreneurship [173, 150] are closely linked to my portfolio of culture studies.

The investigations of entrepreneurship and the economy culminated in a later phase with studies on the interplay between self-employment and unemployment using a vector autoregression (VAR) model for 23 OECD countries for the period 1974-2002 [140] and in specific countries like the UK [100], Spain [120] and Portugal [122, 126]. In the *Journal of Business Venturing* [140], the many alleged effects between self-employment and unemployment and their lag structure are dealt with from many angles. André van Stel played a big role in getting these and other aggregate growth studies on the road, not only because of his econometric expertise but also because he is the mastermind behind the famous Compendia (COMparative Entrepreneurship Data for International Analysis) data set. Some studies of Gibrat’s Law on the disproportionate effect of firm size on growth [121, 101, 110] should have been built into the macro determinants of self-employment, but never were.

When the economy went in a recession in 2008, my colleague Phillip Koellinger suggested looking beyond the interplay between changes or levels of self-employment, unemployment and aggregate output, going to the heart of the matter by examining the interplay between their cyclical effects [172]. This publication in the *Review of Economics and Statistics* led to some spin-offs [189, 193, 194]. The main conclusion is that indeed there is a self-employment cycle and that it is affected by the unemployment cycle. Although it received many citations, few were in the world of macroeconomics.

8. Finally, some entrepreneurship research

Through my many contacts with the European Commission, I met Isabel Grilo, who pointed me to the Eurobarometer Entrepreneurship. Together with her and many others, I wrote a series of papers about the determinants of entrepreneurship, but not in the traditional way. Instead of explaining whether or not people become an entrepreneur – in other words what the likelihood is that they become an entrepreneur – instead we set up what we termed as the entrepreneurship ladder model discriminating between successive engagement levels [123, 115, 117, 137, 151, 152, 157, 162, 168, 169, 178]. I really like this series of papers: many data sets were analyzed using similar models or methodologies with minor variations in the phenomenon to be explained, all covering a wide range of determinants and countries. Such an approach calls for an umbrella text binding it all together. I never wrote that text for a simple reason: despite the many and coherent findings suggesting that the ladder approach makes sense, the effect sizes of the usual suspects among the determinants, such as age, education, experience and risk averseness, remained small. My sense was that in terms of modeling we were on the right track, but in terms of determinants we missed the point. Life intervened - as is often the case - and showed me where to look for the missing link.

But before I elaborate on this, let me first devote some words to my endeavors in the world of hard-core entrepreneurship research. This is not the place to define what is hard-core entrepreneurship research. It is a relatively new and productive field that has fought its way into the ranks of management sciences. However, it is obsessed by new theory and consequently attaches a low value to replication of results. It is vulnerable to data and theory mining. However, it has made immense progress in terms of academic results and respectability in the last two decades mainly thanks to journals like *Journal of Business Venturing*, *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, *Small Business Economics Journal*, and *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*. Although I have been employed as an entrepreneurship researcher for decades, my contribution in this area is limited. I did some gender work [89, 114, 119, 143], some technical work on endogeneity and instruments [170, 171, 182], some work on practices and performance [82, 106, 116, 118, 158], on finance [89, 44] on entrepreneurial aspiration and motivation [136, 139], location decisions [187, 198] and start-up modes [185]. There was never a technical follow up of the one pager in *Harvard Business Review* [148] on Blue Ocean, although this would have been fun: it ties in directly with my early retail work of 30 years ago.

What I could have done and what I probably will do in the years to come it to simply ignore the fixation on new theory of the main journals in entrepreneurship and focus on replication and testing the experiments and the investigations of my colleagues. At my age I have the liberty to ignore what is in vogue and concentrate on what is reproducible. For those seeking to inspire entrepreneurs and who aim to support them – whether it be politicians, financiers or family members – it is crucial to know whether scholarly ideas work or not. Recent large-scale survey work in medicine and psychology that attempt to reproduce earlier results have had truly disappointing results. There is no obvious reason to assume that duplication results would be different in entrepreneurship. A parallel approach is to do research based upon multiple data sets, applying “internal replication.” In my own work I try to do so and in my editorial work I try to encourage it. Here I learned a lot from my colleagues and friends in medicine, with whom I tried to conquer the rocky terrain of entrepreneurship and biology.

9. Hello biology

Entrepreneurship is hereditary. This follows directly from the sign and the significance of the “entrepreneurial parents” variable, which is one of the usual suspects in the determinants of the entrepreneurship literature. I am inclined to say that I never saw a non-significant effect here. But how this works remained obscure until recently: is it nature or nurture? Twin studies now show that it is both. And if it is nature, which bit of DNA is responsible for the nature effect? Or in layman’s terms: which genes are responsible? Given the spectacular progress in DNA research, it is now straightforward to connect DNA to diseases and physical properties of human beings. Ten years ago it took my frustration with the progress of entrepreneurship research, despite - or thanks to - the ladder approach, to think that DNA might be the missing link. So, when I approached Bert Hofman, the principle investigator of a large research initiative at the Erasmus Medical Centre investigating the links between DNA and oft horrid diseases, with the question of whether he could make his DNA material available so that I could research the link with the entrepreneurial choice, he probably thought of entrepreneurship as yet another horrible disease. But he said yes, let’s do it. This was the beginning of a fascinating, still ongoing, research project. Bert and I immediately understood that analyzing DNA and economic behavior, such as the entrepreneurial choice, is a big and risky adventure and we surrounded ourselves with many talented people like Philipp Koellinger, Patrick Groenen, and André Uitterlinden. The entrepreneurial gene was never found [195, 146, 160, 179] but we did find some of the genes connected to educational attainment and subjective well-being and reported about it in *Science* [181], *Nature* [197] and *Nature Genetics* [199]. The collaboration between my Erasmus School of Economics and the Erasmus Medical Centre culminated in the creation of the Erasmus University Rotterdam Institute for Behavior and Biology (EURIBEB). I contributed to some of its many publications of which like the ones in *Journal of Economic Perspectives* [159] and *Physiology and Behavior* [180] the most.

The EURIBEB initiative started by investigating the links between DNA and economic behavior, but quickly broadened its scope toward the role of satisfaction and health [177, 191]. Other studies connecting entrepreneurial behavior with hormones [180], electroencephalography [190], and attention-deficit/hyperactivity (ADHD) [192, 200] followed. EURIBEB received two significant grants from my EUR: one for research on the interface between genes and economic behavior and the second on the neuro-cognition – a blend of neurocognitive mechanisms and psychopathological symptoms - of economic behavior. As a test – or rather of a proof of concept – behavior we used entrepreneurship in its many manifestations like the intention, choice, orientation, success, etc. The social sciences are at the eve of a major regime switch. The so-called social science standard model (SSSM) dominated the social sciences since they came into existence. This model dictates that human decision-making is explained using determinants like environment, socialization, demographics, traits and other behaviors. The model left no room for biological determinants. Currently, biology is making its way into the social sciences at a rapid pace. By biology we mean neuro-imaging, hormones and genetic information. It is precisely here that EURIBEB is contributing. In particular, in using genetic information it is ahead of the world-wide pack. It is also contributing in the area of using determinants originally developed to assess symptoms derived from the field of clinical and neuropsychology - in other words psychiatric scales – for non-clinical purposes. I am determined to devote my scientific life of the next five years or so to these new developments as a director of EURIBEB.

10. Looking back and ahead

My work at EURIBEB in Rotterdam on the discovery of the entirely new field of economics and biology seems not compatible with that of “directeur de la recherche” at the Montpellier Business School in France, which is largely organizational. But wait: isn’t combining the two entirely different fields of economics and biology just like combining two strikingly different cultures, like the (horizontal) Dutch and (vertical) French ones? And is that not similar to combining the scientific research of academia and the applied research of a commercial institute like Panteia, which I have been doing for nearly forty years now. And being “directeur de la recherche” will not prevent me from doing research with a biology or psychiatry *saveur* in partnership with my French colleagues to extend my modest French language output [17, 156, 167, 174, 175, 188, 196]. I do not anticipate increasing my Dutch language output in the years to come: it will probably remain at the level of two edited handbooks [71, 105], a booklet on small firms and jobs [66], 27 contributions for the Dutch (bi)-weekly *ESB*, and an assorted collection of newspaper column-type offerings.

Like many fellow researchers I learn more from my students than they do from me. I was thrilled to take over the small business course of Professor Jan van der Wilde at Free University Amsterdam in the late 1980s: I did so out of my respect for him because he had supported me when I was a young, ill-informed, economic researcher with the idea of changing the field of small business from an institutional to a scholarly one, at a time when it was dominated by vested and institutional interests. I already had this part-time chair at the Econometrics Institute EUR without any teaching obligation. So, why teach in Amsterdam? Taking on this teaching activity proved valuable when EUR upgraded my chair from a part-time temporary to a full time fixed position. I did not have to develop courses from scratch and my beginner’s mistakes were left behind in Amsterdam. Setting up my first small business course in Rotterdam was one of the most hilarious episodes of my entire career, which I am happy to share with anybody over a beer or two. Over the years I set up a Bachelor’s major “Organization and Entrepreneurship” for third year economics students, a Bachelor’s minor “Entrepreneurship in the Modern Economy” for third year students of all backgrounds and a Master’s program “Entrepreneurship and Strategy Economics.” As far as I am aware, my Erasmus School of Economics is the only school of economics in the world with such a variety of entrepreneurship programs.

The Centre for Advanced Small Business Economics (CASBEC) was established in 1987 and started as a placeholder for those working in the “conditional research financing” group on “retail econometrics.” Gradually it began showing to those involved and to the world that it was not just about inventing the field of “retail econometrics” but also about small business economics. Most importantly it was a joint effort between Panteia and the Erasmus School of Economics (ESE): a platform for the collaboration between Panteia, which brought in research questions and data sets, and ESE, which provided human capital. It is a platform coupling societal relevance and scientific rigor. There’s no document explicitly stating any regular financial support or the goals of CASBEC, rather it has always been entirely virtual. Which probably explains its longevity. It has been of great value to both partners in terms of its scholarly output, the number of PhD students who defended successfully, as well as national and international visibility for the two partners.

The Erasmus Centre for Entrepreneurship (ECE) offers a learning environment where both students and companies nurture their entrepreneurship skills, gaining new insights and turning ideas into innovations. It supports them with a combination of a strong academic environment and a community filled with experienced entrepreneurs. The ECE Campus is now home to more than 50 innovative companies and is the stage for many entrepreneurship

events. Furthermore, it built an infrastructure fostering ambitious entrepreneurship and empowering a global community of 20,000 entrepreneurs who can help solve global challenges – creatively and effectively. Currently, I am scientific director of ECE, which is mainly ornamental. But when I go there, I observe and always leave the place in an optimistic mood. It is filled with many young people, who are talented and driven, all with vision for a great future. But getting it off the ground was a gigantic exercise. I invested at least the equivalent of a full professional year in its gestation and its fragile nascent state. It was fully worth it.

The Erasmus School of Economics has always been tolerant and even generous with my field and with me. It invested in PhD students and education programs. It supported my eclectic approach of economics and went along with my life long campaign based on my alleged lack of management genes. It never gave me any managerial duties and allowed me to live far away from the organizational power center. My field, my small group and I have survived three reorganizations. The last which took place was really big and, when it was over, the size of the school appeared to have been halved from 2004 to 2006. Practically alone – but with the help of colleagues funded by external financing – I established the “Entrepreneurship and Strategy Economics” Master’s degree. Some students called it the “Roy Thurik and friends” Master’s, and it was the most rewarding teaching that I’ve ever engaged in, with incredibly involved and thankful students. External financing also played a big role for my small research group CASBEC until some ten years ago. The Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs; VBS Schiedam Vlaardingen, a big philanthropic foundation; the Foundation for the Economic Organization of the Construction Industries; the Dutch Retail Trade Board; and many others invested in our research. A big bank and an accounting firm invested in a spinoff of CASBEC, the European Family Business Institute, but unfortunately that proved to be “too much and too early.”

Somehow we even started making money by organizing the Erasmus Master Class for Entrepreneurship and the Erasmus Master Class for Family Business. Advertised as a “Master Class for Entrepreneurs who do not need a Master Class,” the entrepreneurial course was a huge success – with entrepreneurs coming to me, I learned a lot. As did the handsomely paid professors hired to participate in the Master Class: They lost their innate inclination to see entrepreneurship as a frivolity for serious schools. However, at the time, actually being entrepreneurial and making money was not necessarily seen as a virtue. So we abandoned the initiative.

Erasmus University Rotterdam has been even more supportive than my own school. Since 2000, it has given me four major grants to develop “Small Business Economics,” the “Erasmus Centre for Entrepreneurship,” the “Erasmus University Rotterdam Institute of Behavior and Biology,” and the “Neuro-cognition of the Entrepreneur” project. These four grants amount to at least 2M euros. Without them I would have led a marginal existence at EUR and beyond.

My PhD students have played, and will continue to play, a focal role in my professional life. I always tried to recruit candidates who I thought were far cleverer than I. I hear the reader think: “that is not a strong statement in your case, Roy!” I had few drop out. I very much acknowledge the collaboration with Ben Bode, Jan van Dalen, Jeroen Potjes, Yvonne Prince, Luuk Klomp, Martin Carree, Jan de Kok, Marco van Gelderen, André van Stel, Ingrid Verheul, Sander Wennekers, Armenio Bispo, Jolanda Hessels, Hugo Erken, Haibo Zhou, Peter van der Zwan, Brigitte Hoogendoorn, Matthijs van der Loos, Niels Rietveld and Wim Rietdijk. I am confident that my current PhD students, Aysu Okbay, Pourya Darnihamedani,

Ronald de Vlaming, Indy Bernoster, Christian Fisch and Plato Leung, will all successfully defend.

Ultimately, though, there are four key colleagues: two unaware of the impact that they have had on me (at least until now, that is), and two on speed-dial. David Storey [196] and Simon Parker are the two caught unawares: David Storey's superb presentation style and fine-tuned feel for what policy needs is reflected in how I approach the application of my research. Simon Parker has benefitted financially – each of the three times I bought his book, *The Economics of Entrepreneurship*, because I'd been foolish enough to loan the previous copy of this important book to friends. On speed-dial are my ultimate collaborators, conspirators and friends, Johan Koerts, my supervisor, and David Audretsch [176], my co-author. They have two things in common. They discouraged me from moving out of the field and we seldom talked about the field, instead focusing on the personal things that make life inside and outside the office enjoyable: walking along the River Maas, drinking a pint in a beer garden, and savoring the best things in life.

11. References

- 1 Thurik, R. and N. van der Wijst (1984), Part-time labour in retailing, *Journal of Retailing*, 60(3): 62-80.
- 2 Van der Hoeven, W.H.M and A.R. Thurik (1984), Labour productivity in the hotel business, *Service Industries Journal*, 4(2): 161-173.
- 3 Thurik, A.R. and J.A.C. Vollebregt (1984), A generalized labour cost relation for French retailing, *Annales de l' Insee*, 53: 93-106.
- 4 Thurik, A.R. and J. Koerts (1984), Analysis of the use of retail floorspace, *International Small Business Journal*, 2(2): 35-47.
- 5 Thurik, A.R. (1984), Labour productivity, economies of scale and opening time in large retail establishments, *Service Industries Journal*, 4(1): 19-29.
- 6 Thurik, A.R. (1984), *Quantitative Analysis of Retail Productivity*, (W.D. Meinema: Delft).
- 7 Thurik, A.R. and J.A.C. Vollebregt (1985), Degree of affiliation and retail labour productivity in France, The Netherlands and the U.K., *International Small Business Journal*, 3(4): 65-71.
- 8 Thurik, A.R. and J. Koerts (1985), Behaviour of retail entrepreneurs, *Service Industries Journal*, 5(3): 335-347.
- 9 Kooiman, P., H.K. van Dijk and A.R. Thurik (1985), Likelihood diagnostics and Bayesian analysis of a micro-economic disequilibrium model for retail services, *Journal of Econometrics*, 29(1-2): 121-148.
- 10 Nooteboom, B. and A.R. Thurik (1985), Retail margins during recession and growth, *Economics Letters*, 17: 281-284.
- 11 Thurik, R. and P. Kooiman (1986), Modelling retail floorspace productivity, *Journal of Retailing*, 62(4): 431-445.
- 12 Nooteboom, B., A.R. Thurik and J.A.C. Vollebregt (1986), An international comparison in the general food trade: cases of structural change, *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 3(4): 243-247.
- 13 Thurik, A.R. (1986), Productivity in small business: an analysis using African data, *American Journal of Small Business*, 11(1): 27-42.
- 14 Thurik, R. (1986), Transaction per customer in supermarkets, *International Journal of Retailing*, 1(3): 33-42.
- 15 Bode, B., J. Koerts and R. Thurik (1986), On storekeeper's pricing behaviour, *Journal of Retailing*, 62(1): 98-110.

- 16 Thurik, A.R. and A.J.M. Kleijweg (1986), Procyclical retail labour productivity, *Bulletin of Economic Research*, 38(2): 169-175.
- 17 Nooteboom, B., A.R. Thurik et J.A.C. Vollebregt (1986), Les marges de la distribution de détail différent-elles entre les pays européens? *Revue Française du Marketing*, 106(1): 63-74.
- 18 Hoeven, W.H.M. van der and A.R. Thurik (1987), Pricing in the hotel and catering sector, *De Economist*, 135(2): 201-218.
- 19 Thurik, A.R. (1987), La produttività del lavoro nel commercio al dettaglio: alcune implicazioni ed applicazioni della curva lineare dei costi, *Commercio: Rivista di Economia e Politica Commerciale*, 25: 101-118.
- 20 Thurik, A.R. (1987), Optimal trading hours in retailing, *International Journal of Retailing*, 2(1): 22-30.
- 21 Bode, B., J. Koerts and A.R. Thurik (1988), On the measurement of retail marketing mix effects in the presence of different economic regimes, *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 5(2): 107-123.
- 22 Thurik, A.R. (1988), Les grandes surfaces en France: étude de la relation ventes/surface du magasin, *Recherche et Applications en Marketing*, 3(3): 21-37.
- 23 Kleijweg, A.J.M. and A.R. Thurik (1988), Determinants of aggregate employment: an example of the food retail and hotel and catering sectors, *Service Industries Journal*, 8(1): 91-100.
- 24 Nooteboom, B., A.J.M. Kleijweg and A.R. Thurik (1988), Normal costs and demand effects in price setting: a study of retailing, *European Economic Review*, 32(4): 999-1011.
- 25 Nooteboom, B., J.A.C. Vollebregt and A.R. Thurik (1988), Do retail margins differ among European countries?, A comparative study, in *Transnational Retailing*, E. Kaynak (ed.), (Walter de Gruyter: Berlin and New York): 155-166.
- 26 Thurik, A.R. and W.H.M. van der Hoeven (1989), Manufacturing margins: differences between small and large firms, *Economics Letters*, 29(4): 353-359.
- 27 Dijk, V. van, A.J.M. Kleijweg and A.R. Thurik (1989), Retail purchasing prices: theoretical and empirical viewpoints, in *Retail and Marketing Channels*, L. Pellegrini and S.K. Reddy (eds.), (Routledge Publishers): 73-83.
- 28 Dalen, J. van, J. Koerts and A.R. Thurik (1990), Measurement of labour productivity in wholesaling, *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 7(1): 21-34.
- 29 Bode, B., J. Koerts and A.R. Thurik (1990), Market disequilibria and their influence on small retail store pricing, *Small Business Economics*, 2(1): 45-57.
- 30 Potjes, J.C.A., Y. Suzuki and A.R. Thurik (1990), A floorspace productivity relationship for Japanese convenience stores, *Aoyama Business Review*, 15: 31-44.
- 31 Thurik, A.R. (1990), Small business economics: a perspective from the Netherlands, *Small Business Economics*, 2(1): 1-10.
- 32 Potjes, J.C.A. and A.R. Thurik (1991), Japanese supermarket chains and labour costs, Part 1: Differing marketing strategies among chains, *Journal of Marketing Channels*, 1(2): 53-73.
- 33 Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik (1991), Recent developments in the Dutch firm-size distribution, *Small Business Economics*, 3(4): 261-268.
- 34 Dalen, J. van and A.R. Thurik (1991), Labour productivity and profitability in the Dutch flower trade, *Small Business Economics*, 3(2): 131-144.
- 35 Frenk, J.B.G., A.R. Thurik and C.A. Bout (1991), Labour costs and queueing theory in retailing, *European Journal of Operations Research*, 55: 260-267.

- 36 Hertog, R.G.J. den and A.R. Thurik (1992), Expectations and retail price setting, *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 2(3): 263-282.
- 37 Prince, Y.M. and A.R. Thurik (1992), Price-cost margins in Dutch manufacturing: effects of concentration, business cycle and international trade, *De Economist*, 140(3): 310-335.
- 38 Potjes, J.C.A. and A.R. Thurik (1992), Japanese supermarket chains and labour costs, Part 2: Comparison with French variety stores, supermarkets and hypermarkets, *Journal of Marketing Channels*, 1(3): 97-113.
- 39 Potjes, J.C.A. and A.R. Thurik (1993), Profit margins in Japanese retailing, *Japan and the World Economy*, 5(4): 337-362.
- 40 Carree, M.A., J.C.A. Potjes and A.R. Thurik (1993), Small store presence in Japan, *Economics Letters*, 41(3): 329-334.
- 41 Thurik, A.R. (1993), Exports and small business in the Netherlands: presence, potential and performance, *International Small Business Journal*, 11(3): 47-58.
- 42 Hertog, R.G.J. den and A.R. Thurik (1993), Determinants of internal and external R&D: some Dutch evidence, *De Economist*, 141(2): 279-289.
- 43 Prince, Y.M. and A.R. Thurik (1993), Firm-size distribution and price-cost margins in Dutch manufacturing, *Small Business Economics*, 5(3): 173-186.
- 44 Wijst, D. van der and A.R. Thurik (1993), Determinants of small firm debt ratios: an analysis of retail panel data, *Small Business Economics*, 5(1): 55-65.
- 45 Thurik, A.R. (1993), Recent developments in firm-size distribution and economies of scale in Dutch manufacturing, in *Small Firms and Entrepreneurship: an East-West Perspective*, Z. Acs and D. Audretsch (eds.), (Cambridge University Press): 78-109.
- 46 Hertog, R.G.J. den, Potjes, J.C.A. and A.R. Thurik (1994), Retail profit margins in Japan and Germany, *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv*, 130(2): 375-390.
- 47 Prince, Y.M. and A.R. Thurik (1994), The intertemporal stability of the concentration-margins relationship in Dutch and U.S. manufacturing, *Review of Industrial Organization*, 9(2): 193-209.
- 48 Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik (1994), The dynamics of entry, exit and profitability: an error correction approach for the retail industry, *Small Business Economics*, 6(2): 107-116.
- 49 Potjes, J.C.A., M.A. Carree and A.R. Thurik (1994), Japanese retail stores: regulation, demand and the dual labour market, in *SMES, Internationalization, Networks and Strategy*, J.M. Veciana (ed.), (Avebury: Aldershot): 222-236.
- 50 Prince, Y.M. and A.R. Thurik (1995), Do small firms price-cost margins follow those of large firms?, *Bulletin of Economic Research*, 47(4): 321-327.
- 51 Dalen, J. van and A.R. Thurik (1995), Wholesale pricing in a small open economy, *De Economist*, 142(1): 55-76.
- 52 Hertog, R.G.J. den and A.R. Thurik (1995), A comparison between Dutch and German retail price-setting, *Service Industries Journal*, 15(1): 66-73.
- 53 Thurik, A.R. (1995), Labour productivity, economics of scale and opening time in large retail establishments, in *Retail Employment*, G. Akehurst and N. Alexander (eds.), (Frank Cass: Londen): 139-149.
- 54 Hertog, R.G.J. den and A.R. Thurik (1995), A comparison between Dutch and German retail price-setting, in *Retail Marketing*, G. Akehurst and N. Alexander (eds.), (Frank Cass: Londen): 196-203.
- 55 Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik (1995), Profitability and number of firms: their dynamic interaction in Dutch retailing, in *Studies in Industrial Organization: Market*

- Evolution: Competition and Cooperation*, A. van Witteloostuijn, (ed.), (Kluwer Academic Publishers): 257-266.
- 56 Audretsch, D.B., A.J. Menkveld and A.R. Thurik (1996), The decision between internal and external R&D, *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics*, 152(3): 519-530.
- 57 Thurik, A.R. (1996), Introduction: economic performance and small business, *Small Business Economics*, 8(5): 327-328.
- 58 Thurik, A.R. (1996), Introduction: innovation and small business, *Small Business Economics*, 8(3): 175-176.
- 59 Audretsch, D.B. and A.R. Thurik (1996). Introduction: the dynamics of industrial organization, *Review of Industrial Organization*, 11(2): 149-153.
- 60 Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik (1996), Entry and exit in retailing: incentives, barriers, displacement and replacement, *Review of Industrial Organization*, 11(2): 155-172.
- 61 Wijst, D. van and A.R. Thurik (1996), Determinants of small firm debt ratios: an analysis of retail panel data, in *Small Firms and Economic Growth*, Vol 1, Z.J.Acs (ed.), (Edward Elgar: Cheltenham): 639-649.
- 62 Thurik, A.R. (1996), Small firms, entrepreneurship and economic growth, in *Small Business in the Modern Economy*, Z. Acs, B. Carlsson and A.R. Thurik (eds.), (Basil Blackwell Publishers: Oxford): 126-152.
- 63 Acs, Z., B. Carlsson and A.R. Thurik (eds.) (1996), *Small Business in the Modern Economy*, (Basil Blackwell Publishers: Oxford).
- 64 Farris, P., A.R. Thurik and W. Verbeke (1997), The acit test of brand loyalty: a consumer response to out of stocks for their favorite brands, *Journal of Brand Management*, 5: 43-52
- 65 Dijk, B. van, Hertog R. den, Menkveld, B. and R. Thurik (1997), Some new evidence on the determinants of large- and small-firm innovation, *Small Business Economics*, 9(4): 335-343.
- 66 Klomp, L. and A.R. Thurik (1997), *Kleine Bedrijven als Banenmotor?* (Van Gorkum: Assen).
- 67 Bode, B., Koerts, J. and A.R. Thurik (1998), On the use of disequilibrium models in applied microeconomic research and the value of sample separation information, *Applied Economics*, 30: 1511-1530.
- 68 Dalen, J. van and A.R. Thurik (1998), A model of pricing behavior: an econometric case study, *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 36: 177-195.
- 69 Verbeke, W., P. Farris and A.R. Thurik (1998), Consumer response to the preferred brand out-of-stock situation, *European Journal of Marketing*, 32(11-12): 1008-1028.
- 70 Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik (1998), Small firms and economic growth in Europe, *Atlantic Economic Journal*, 26(2): 137-146.
- 71 Scherjon, D.P. and A.R. Thurik (eds.) (1998), *Handboek Ondernemers en Adviseurs in het MKB*, (Kluwer Bedrijfsinformatie: Deventer).
- 72 Audretsch, D.B. Y.M. Prince and A.R. Thurik (1999), Do small firms compete with large firms? *Atlantic Economic Journal*, 27(2): 201-209.
- 73 Audretsch, D.B. and A.R. Thurik (1999), La nuova organizzazione industriale. Dalla economia gestita all'economia imprenditoriale, *L'Industria: Revista di Economia e Politica Industriale*, 20(4): 637-656.
- 74 Wennekers, S. and R. Thurik (1999), Linking entrepreneurship and economic growth, *Small Business Economics*, 13(1): 27-55.
- 75 Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik (1999), Carrying capacity and entry and exit flows in retailing, *International Journal of Industrial Organisation*, 17(7): 985-1007.

- 76 Menkveld, B. and A.R. Thurik (1999), Firm size and efficiency in innovation, *Small Business Economics*, 12(1): 97-101.
- 77 Thurik, A.R. (1999), Entrepreneurship, industrial transformation and growth, in *The Sources of Entrepreneurial Activity: Vol. 11, Advances in the Study of Entrepreneurship, Innovation, and Economic Growth*, G.D. Libecap (ed.), (JAI Press: Stamford, CT): 29-65.
- 78 Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik (1999), Industrial structure and economic growth, in *Innovation, Industry Evolution and Employment*, D.B. Audretsch and A. R. Thurik (eds.), (Cambridge University Press): 86-110.
- 79 Audretsch, D.B., L. Klomp and A.R. Thurik (1999), The post-entry performance of firms in Dutch services, in *Innovation, Industry Evolution and Employment*, D.B. Audretsch and A. R. Thurik (eds.), (Cambridge University Press): 230-252.
- 80 Audretsch, D.B. and A.R. Thurik (1999), Introduction, in *Innovation, Industry Evolution and Employment*, D.B. Audretsch and A. R. Thurik (eds.), (Cambridge University Press): 1-12.
- 81 Audretsch, D.B. and A.R. Thurik (eds.) (1999), *Innovation, Industry Evolution and Employment*, (Cambridge University Press).
- 82 Gelderen, M. von, M. Frese and R. Thurik (2000), Strategies, uncertainty and performance of small startups, *Small Business Economics*, 15(3): 165-181.
- 83 Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik (2000), The life cycle of the US tire industry, *Southern Economic Journal*, 67(2): 254-278.
- 84 Carree, M.A., L. Klomp and A.R. Thurik (2000), Productivity convergence in OECD manufacturing industries, *Economics Letters*, 66(3): 337-345.
- 85 Audretsch, D.B., P. Houweling and A.R. Thurik (2000), Firm survival in the Netherlands, *Review of Industrial Organization*, 16(1): 1-11.
- 86 Audretsch, D.B. and A.R. Thurik (2000), Capitalism and democracy in the 21st century: from the managed to the entrepreneurial economy, *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 10(1-2): 17-34.
- 87 Klomp, L. and A.R. Thurik (1999), Job flows in traditional services, in *Entrepreneurship, Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises and the Macro Economy*, Z. Acs, B. Carlsson and Ch. Karlson (eds.), (Cambridge University Press): 310-326.
- 88 Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik (2000), Market structure dynamics and economic growth, in *Regulatory Reform and Competitiveness in Europe no. 1: Horizontal Issues*, G. Galli and J. Pelkmans (eds.), (Edward Elgar Publishing: Cheltenham, UK): 430-460.
- 89 Verheul, I. and R. Thurik (2001), Start-up capital: 'does gender matter?', *Small Business Economics*, 16(4): 329-345.
- 90 Audretsch, D.B. and A.R. Thurik (2001), What is new about the new economy: sources of growth in the managed and entrepreneurial economies, *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 10(1): 267-315.
- 91 Audretsch, D.B., G. van Leeuwen, B.J. Menkveld and A.R. Thurik (2001), Market dynamics in the Netherlands: competition policy and the response of small firms, *International Journal of Industrial Organisation*, 19(5): 795-821.
- 92 Audretsch, D.B. and A.R. Thurik (2001), Globalization and the strategic management of regions, in *Globalization and Regionalization: Challenges for Public Policy*, D.B. Audretsch and C.F. Bonser (eds.), (Kluwer Academic Publishers: Boston and Dordrecht): 49-70.
- 93 Wennekers, A.R.M., L. Uhlaner and A.R. Thurik (2002), Entrepreneurship and its conditions: a macro perspective, *International Journal of Entrepreneurship Education*, 1(1): 25-64.

- 94 Carree, M., A. van Stel, R. Thurik and S. Wennekers (2002), Economic development and business ownership: an analysis using data of 23 OECD countries in the period 1976-1996, *Small Business Economics*, 19(3): 271-290.
- 95 Thurik, A.R., S. Wennekers and L.M. Uhlaner (2002), Entrepreneurship and economic performance: a macro perspective, *International Journal of Entrepreneurship Education*, 1(2): 157-179.
- 96 Audretsch, D.B., M.A. Carree, A.J. van Stel and A.R. Thurik (2002), Impeded industrial restructuring: the growth penalty, *Kyklos*, 55(1): 81-97.
- 97 Verheul, I., A.R.M. Wennekers, D.B. Audretsch and A.R. Thurik (2002), An eclectic theory of entrepreneurship: policies institutions and culture, in *Entrepreneurship: Determinants and Policy in a European-US Comparison*, D.B. Audretsch, A.R. Thurik, I. Verheul and A.R.M. Wennekers (eds.), (Kluwer Academic Publishers: Boston and Dordrecht): 11-81.
- 98 Audretsch, D.B., A.R. Thurik, I. Verheul and S. Wennekers (2002), Understanding entrepreneurship across countries and over time, in *Entrepreneurship: Determinants and Policy in a European-US Comparison*, D.B. Audretsch, A.R. Thurik, I. Verheul and A.R.M. Wennekers (eds.), (Kluwer Academic Publishers: Boston and Dordrecht): 1-10.
- 99 Audretsch, D.B., A.R. Thurik, I. Verheul and S. Wennekers (eds.) (2002), *Entrepreneurship: Determinants and Policy in a European-US Comparison*, (Kluwer Academic Publishers: Boston and Dordrecht).
- 100 Thurik, A.R. (2003), Entrepreneurship and unemployment in the UK, *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, 50(3): 264-290.
- 101 Piergiovanni, R., E. Santarelli, L. Klomp and A.R. Thurik (2003), Gibrat's law and the firm size/firm growth relationship in Italian services, *Revue d'Economie Industrielle*, 102: 69-82.
- 102 Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik (2003), The impact of entrepreneurship on economic growth, in *Handbook of Entrepreneurship Research*, D.B. Audretsch and Z.J. Acs (eds.), (Kluwer Academic Publishers: Boston and Dordrecht): 437-471.
- 103 Audretsch, D.B. and A.R. Thurik (2003), Entrepreneurship, industry evolution and economic growth, in *Austrian Economics and Entrepreneurial Studies: Advances in Austrian Economics*, R. Koppl (ed.), (JAI/Elsevier Science: Oxford): 39-56.
- 104 Hofstede, G., N.G. Noorderhaven, A.R. Thurik, A.R.M. Wennekers, L. Uhlaner and R.E. Wildeman (2003), Culture's role in entrepreneurship: self-employment out of dissatisfaction, in *Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Culture: The Interaction between Technology, Progress and Economic Growth*, J. Ulijn and T. Brown (eds.), (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited: Cheltenham, UK and Brookfield, US): 162-203.
- 105 Risseeuw, P.A. and A.R. Thurik (eds.) (2003), *Handboek Ondernemers en Adviseurs: Management en Economie van het Midden- en Kleinbedrijf*, (Kluwer Bedrijfsinformatie: Deventer).
- 106 Bosma, N., M. van Praag, R. Thurik and G. de Wit (2004), The value of human and social capital investments for the business performance of startups, *Small Business Economics*, 23(3): 227-236.
- 107 Audretsch, D.B., P. Houweling and A.R. Thurik (2004), Industry evolution: diversity, selection and the role of learning, *International Small Business Journal*, 22(4): 331 - 348.
- 108 Noorderhaven, N. R. Thurik, S. Wennekers and A. van Stel (2004), The role of dissatisfaction and per capita income in explaining self-employment across 15 European countries, *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, 28(5): 447-466.

- 109 Audretsch, D.B. and A.R. Thurik (2004), A model of the entrepreneurial economy, *International Journal of Entrepreneurship Education*, 2(2): 143-166.
- 110 Audretsch, D.B., L. Klomp, E. Santarelli and A.R. Thurik (2004), Gibrat's Law: are the services different?, *Review of Industrial Organization*, 24(3): 301-324.
- 111 Thurik, R. and S. Wennekers (2004), Entrepreneurship, small business and economic growth, *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 11(1): 140-149.
- 112 Wennekers, S., A. van Stel, R. Thurik and P. Reynolds (2005), Nascent entrepreneurship and the level of economic development, *Small Business Economics*, 24(3): 293-309.
- 113 Stel, A. van, M. Carree and R. Thurik (2005), The effect of entrepreneurial activity on national economic growth, *Small Business Economics*, 24(3): 311-321.
- 114 Verheul, I., L. Uhlaner and R. Thurik (2005), Business accomplishments, gender and entrepreneurial self-image, *Journal of Business Venturing*, 20(4): 483-518.
- 115 Grilo, I. and R. Thurik (2005), Entrepreneurial engagement levels in the European Union, *International Journal of Entrepreneurship Education*, 3(2): 143-168.
- 116 Gelderen, M. van, R. Thurik and N. Bosma (2005), Success and risk factors in the pre-startup phase, *Small Business Economics*, 24(4): 365-380.
- 117 Grilo, I. and A.R. Thurik (2005), Latent and actual entrepreneurship in Europe and the US: some recent developments, *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 1(4): 441-459.
- 118 Kok, J.M.P. de, L.M. Uhlaner and A.R. Thurik (2006), Professional HRM practices in family-owned enterprises, *Journal of Small Business Management*, 44(3): 441-460.
- 119 Verheul, I., A. van Stel and A.R. Thurik (2006), Explaining female and male entrepreneurship at the country level, *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 18(2): 151-183.
- 120 Verheul, I., A. van Stel, A.R. Thurik and D. Urbano (2006), The relationship between business ownership and unemployment in Spain: a matter of quantity or quality? *Estudios de Economía Aplicada*, 24(2): 105-127.
- 121 Santarelli, E., L. Klomp and A.R. Thurik (2006), Gibrat's Law: an overview of the empirical literature, in *Entrepreneurship, Growth, and Innovation: the Dynamics of Firms and Industries: International Studies in Entrepreneurship*, Enrico Santarelli (ed.), (Springer Science: Berlin): 41-73.
- 122 Baptista, R., A. van Stel and A.R. Thurik (2006), Entrepreneurship, industrial restructuring and unemployment in Portugal, in *Entrepreneurship, Growth, and Innovation: the Dynamics of Firms and Industries: International Studies in Entrepreneurship*, Enrico Santarelli (ed.), (Springer Science: Berlin): 223-241.
- 123 Grilo, I. and A.R. Thurik (2006), Entrepreneurship in the old and new Europe, in *Entrepreneurship, Growth, and Innovation: the Dynamics of Firms and Industries: International Studies in Entrepreneurship*, Enrico Santarelli (ed.), (Springer Science: Berlin): 75-103.
- 124 Carree, M. and R. Thurik (2006), Understanding the role of entrepreneurship for economic growth, in *The Handbook Entrepreneurship and Economic Growth (International Library of Entrepreneurship Series)*, M.A. Carree and A.R. Thurik (eds.), (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited: Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, US): ix-xix.
- 125 Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik (eds.) (2006), *The Handbook of Entrepreneurship and Economic Growth (International Library of Entrepreneurship)*, (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited: Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, US).

- 126 Baptista, R. and A.R. Thurik (2007), The relationship between entrepreneurship and unemployment: is Portugal an outlier? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 74(1), 75-89.
- 127 Carree, M.A., A. van Stel, A.R. Thurik and S. Wennekers (2007), Economic development and business ownership revisited, *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 19(3): 281-291.
- 128 Stel, A. van, D. Storey and A.R. Thurik (2007), The effect of business regulations on nascent to young business entrepreneurship, *Small Business Economics*, 28(2-3): 171-186.
- 129 Freytag, A. and A.R. Thurik (2007), Entrepreneurship and its determinants in a cross-country setting, *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 17(2): 117-131.
- 130 Wennekers, S., R. Thurik, A. van Stel and N. Noorderhaven (2007), Uncertainty avoidance and the rate of business ownership across 23 OECD countries, 1976-2004, *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 17(2): 133-160.
- 131 Uhlaner, L.M. and A.R. Thurik (2007), Post-materialism: a cultural factor influencing total entrepreneurial activity across nations, *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 17(2): 161-185.
- 132 Audretsch, D.B. and A.R. Thurik (2007), The models of the managed and the entrepreneurial economy, in *The Elgar Companion to Neo-Schumpeterian Economics*, H. Hanusch and A. Pyka (eds.), (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited: Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, US): 211- 231.
- 133 Audretsch, D.B., I. Grilo and A.R. Thurik (2007), Explaining entrepreneurship and the role of policy: a framework, in *The Handbook of Research on Entrepreneurship Policy*, D.B. Audretsch, I. Grilo and A.R. Thurik (eds.), (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited: Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, US): 1-17.
- 134 Audretsch, D.B., I. Grilo and A.R. Thurik (eds.) (2007), *The Handbook of Research on Entrepreneurship Policy*, (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited: Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, US).
- 135 Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik (2008), The lag structure of the impact of business ownership on economic growth in OECD countries, *Small Business Economics*, 30(1): 101-110.
- 136 Hessels, J, M. van Gelderen and A.R. Thurik (2008), Drivers of entrepreneurial aspirations at the country level: investigating the role of start-up motivations and social security, *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 4(4): 401-417.
- 137 Grilo, I. and A.R. Thurik (2008), Determinants of entrepreneurial engagement levels in Europe and the US, *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 17(6): 1113-1145.
- 138 Groenen, P., A. Hofman, Ph. Koellinger, M. van der Loos, F. Rivadeneira, F. van Rooij, A.R. Thurik and A. Uitterlinden (2008), Genome-wide association for loci influencing entrepreneurial behavior: the Rotterdam study, *Behavior Genetics*, 38(6): 628-629.
- 139 Hessels, J, M. van Gelderen and A.R. Thurik (2008), Entrepreneurial aspiration, motivation and their drivers, *Small Business Economics*, 31(3): 323-339.
- 140 Thurik, A.R., M.A. Carree, A. van Stel and D.B. Audretsch (2008), Does self-employment reduce unemployment? *Journal of Business Venturing*, 23(6): 673-686.
- 141 Nijssen, A., J. Hudson, K. van Paridon, Chr. Mueller and R. Thurik (2008), The world of regulation and compliance, in *Business Regulation and Public Policy: the Costs and Benefits of Compliance*, A. Nijssen, J. Hudson, K. van Paridon, Chr. Mueller and R. Thurik (eds.), (Springer, Intl Studies in Entrepreneurship Series: New York): vii-xxv.

- 142 Nijssen, A., J. Hudson, K. van Paridon, Chr. Mueller and R. Thurik (eds.) (2008),
Business Regulation and Public Policy: the Costs and Benefits of Compliance,
(Springer, International Studies in Entrepreneurship Series: New York).
- 143 Verheul, I., M.A. Carree and A.R. Thurik (2009), Allocation and productivity of time
in new ventures of female and male entrepreneurs, ***Small Business Economics***, 33(3):
273-291.
- 144 Audretsch, D.B. and A.R. Thurik (2009), Globalization, entrepreneurship and the
strategic management of regions, in ***The Role of SMEs and Entrepreneurship in a
Globalized Economy***, A. Lundström (ed.), (The Globalization Council: Sweden): 14-
40.
- 145 Thurik, A.R. (2009), Entreprenomics: entrepreneurship, economic growth and policy,
in ***Entrepreneurship, Growth and Public Policy***, Z.J. Acs, D.B. Audretsch and R.
Strom (eds.), (Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK): 219-249.
- 146 Loos, M. van der, P. Groenen, Ph. Koellinger and A.R. Thurik (2010), A genome-
wide association study of entrepreneurship, ***European Journal of Epidemiology***,
25(1): 1-3.
- 147 Wennekers, A.R.M., A. J. van Stel, M.A. Carree and A.R. Thurik (2010), The relation
between entrepreneurship and economic development: is it U-shaped? ***Foundations
and Trends in Entrepreneurship***, 6(3): 167-237.
- 148 Burke, A., A.J. van Stel and A.R. Thurik (2010), Blue Ocean vs. five forces, ***Harvard
Business Review***, May: 28.
- 149 Koellinger, Ph.D., M.J.H.M. van der Loos, P.J.F. Groenen, A.R. Thurik, F.
Rivadeneira, F.J.A. van Rooij, A.G. Uitterlinden and A. Hofman (2010), Genome-
wide association studies in economics and entrepreneurship research: promises and
limitations, ***Small Business Economics***, 35(1): 1-18.
- 150 Hoogendoorn, B., E. Pennings and A.R. Thurik (2010), What do we know about
social entrepreneurship? An analysis of empirical research, ***International Review of
Entrepreneurship***, 8(2): 71-112.
- 151 Zwan, P. van der, A.R. Thurik and I. Grilo (2010), The entrepreneurial ladder and its
determinants, ***Applied Economics***, 42(17): 2183 - 2191.
- 152 Stam, E., A.R. Thurik and P. van der Zwan (2010), Entrepreneurial exit in real and
imagined markets, ***Industrial and Corporate Change***, 19(4): 1109-1139.
- 153 Carree, M.A. and A.R. Thurik (2010), The impact of entrepreneurship on economic
growth, in ***Handbook of Entrepreneurship Research***, D.B. Audretsch and Z.J. Acs
(eds.), (Springer Verlag: Berlin and Heidelberg): 557-594.
- 154 Freytag, A. and A.R. Thurik (2010), Introducing entrepreneurship and culture, in
Entrepreneurship and Culture, A. Freytag and A.R. Thurik (eds.), (Springer Verlag:
Berlin and Heidelberg): 1-8.
- 155 Freitag, A. and R. Thurik (eds) (2010), ***Entrepreneurship and Culture***, (Springer
Verlag: Berlin and Heidelberg).
- 156 Dejardin, M. et R. Thurik (2010), Nature ou culture, quelle est la source de l'esprit
d'entreprendre? ***Revue Louvain***, 183 avril-mai: 35-36.
- 157 Hessels, J., I. Grilo, A.R. Thurik and P. van der Zwan (2011), Entrepreneurial exit and
entrepreneurial engagement, ***Journal of Evolutionary Economics***, 21(3): 447-471.
- 158 Gelderen, M. van, A.R. Thurik and P. Pankaj (2011), Encountered problems and
outcome status in nascent entrepreneurship, ***Journal of Small Business Management***,
49(1): 71-91.
- 159 Beauchamp, J.P., D. Cesarini, M. Johannesson, M.J.H.M. van der Loos, Ph.D.
Koellinger, P.J.F. Groenen, J.H. Fowler, J.N. Rosenquist, A.R. Thurik, and N.A.

- Christakis (2011), Molecular genetics and economics, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 25(4): 57-82.
- 160 Loos, M.J.H.M. van der, Ph.D. Koellinger, P.J.F. Groenen, C.A. Rietveld, F. Rivadeneira, F.J.A. van Rooij, A.G. Uitterlinden, A. Hofman, and A.R. Thurik (2011), Candidate gene studies and the quest for the entrepreneurial gene, *Small Business Economics*, 37(3): 267-275.
- 161 Leitao, J., F. Lasch and A.R. Thurik (2011), Globalization, entrepreneurship and regional environment, *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 12(2): 129-138.
- 162 Zwan, P. van der, I. Verheul and A.R. Thurik (2011), Entrepreneurial ladder in transition and non-transition economies, *Entrepreneurship Research Journal*, 1(2), article 4.
- 163 Audretsch, D.B., I. Grilo and A.R. Thurik (2011), Globalization, entrepreneurship and the region, in *Handbook of Research on Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, M. Fritsch (ed.), (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited: Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, US): 11-32.
- 164 Thurik, A.R. (2011), From the managed to the entrepreneurial economy: considerations for developing and emerging economies, in *Entrepreneurship and Economic Development*, W. Naudé (ed.), (Palgrave MacMillan: Houndmills, Basingstoke, England): 147-165.
- 165 Thurik, A.R. and M. Dejardin (2011), Entrepreneurship and culture, in *Entrepreneurship in Context*, M. van Gelderen and E. Masurel (eds.), (Routledge: London): 175-186.
- 166 Stam E., Ch. Hartog, A. van Stel and A.R. Thurik (2011), Ambitious entrepreneurship, high-growth firms and macro-economic growth, in *The Dynamics of Entrepreneurship: Theory and Evidence*, M. Minniti (ed.), (Oxford University Press: Oxford): 231-249.
- 167 Thurik, A.R. (2011), Préface de *La Croissance de l'Entreprise: une Obligation pour le PME?* Frank Janssen, (De Boeck: Bruxelles): 11-15.
- 168 Zwan, P. van der, I. Verheul and A.R. Thurik (2012), The entrepreneurial ladder, gender and regional development, *Small Business Economics*, 39(3): 627-643.
- 169 Verheul, I., A.R. Thurik, I. Grilo and P. van der Zwan (2012), Explaining preferences and actual involvement in self-employment: new insights into the role of gender. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 33(2): 325-341.
- 170 Block J., L. Hoogerheide and A.R. Thurik (2012), Are education and entrepreneurial income endogenous? A Bayesian analysis, *Entrepreneurship Research Journal*, 2(3).
- 171 Hoogerheide, L., J. Block and A.R. Thurik (2012), Family background variables as instruments for education in income regressions: a Bayesian analysis, *Economics of Education Review*, 31(5): 515-523.
- 172 Koellinger, Ph.D. and A.R. Thurik (2012), Entrepreneurship and the business cycle, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 94(4): 1143-1156.
- 173 Hoogendoorn, B., H.P.G. Pennings and A.R. Thurik, A.R. (2012), A conceptual overview of What We Know About Social Entrepreneurship, in *The Community Development Reader*, J. DeFilippis and S. Saegert (eds.), (Routledge: New York): 117-124.
- 174 Thurik, A.R. (2012), Préface de *Ecosystèmes d'affaires et PME*, Michaël Géraudel et Annabelle Jaouen, (Lavoisier: Cachan): 13-15.
- 175 Dejardin, M. et R. Thurik (2012), L'impact de la culture sur l'entrepreneuriat. *Reflète et Perspectives de la Vie Economique*, 2012-02: 75-81.

- 176 Thurik, A.R., (2013), Valuing an Entrepreneurial Enterprise by D.B. Audretsch and A.N. Link, Oxford University Press, 2012, (book review), *Journal of Economic Literature*, 51(1): 202-204.
- 177 Millan, J.M., J. Hessels, R. Aguado and A.R. Thurik (2013), Determinants of job satisfaction across the EU-15: a comparison of self-employed and paid employees, *Small Business Economics*, 40(3): 651-670.
- 178 Zwan, P. van der, I. Verheul, A.R. Thurik and I. Grilo (2013), Entrepreneurial progress: climbing the entrepreneurial ladder in Europe and the US, *Regional Studies*, 47(5): 803-825.
- 179 Loos M.J.H.M. van der, C.A. Rietveld, N. Eklund, P.D. Koellinger, F. Rivadeneira, et al., (2013), The molecular genetic architecture of self-employment, *PLoS ONE*, 8(4), e60542.
- 180 Loos, M.J.H.M. van der, R. Haring, C.A. Rietveld, S.E. Baumeister, P.J.F. Groenen, A. Hofman, F.H. de Jong, Ph.D. Koellinger, T. Kohlmann, M.A. Nauck, F. Rivadeneira, A.G. Uitterlinden, F.J.A. van Rooij, H. Wallaschowski and A.R. Thurik (2013), Serum testosterone levels in males are not associated with entrepreneurial behavior in two independent observational studies, *Physiology and Behavior*, 119: 110-114.
- 181 Rietveld, C.A., et al. (2013), GWAS of 126,559 individuals identifies genetic variants associated with educational attainment, *Science*, 340 (21 June): 1467-1471.
- 182 Block, J., L. Hoogerheide and A.R. Thurik (2013), Education and entrepreneurial choice: evidence from an instrumental variables regression, *International Small Business Journal*, 31(1): 23-33.
- 183 Thurik, A.R., D.B. Audretsch and E. Stam, (2013), The rise of the entrepreneurial economy and the future of dynamic capitalism, *Technovation*, 33(8-9): 302-310.
- 184 Koellinger, Ph., M. van der Loos, C. Rietveld, D. Benjamin, D. Cesarini, N. Eklund, S. Williams, P. Groenen, A. Uitterlinden, A. Hofman and R. Thurik (2013), GWA studies on entrepreneurship: The trade-off between phenotype accuracy and sample size, *Behavior Genetics*, 43(6): 526.
- 185 Block, J., A.R. Thurik, P. van der Zwan and S. Walter, (2013), Business takeover or new venture start? Individual and environmental determinants from a cross-country study, *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, 37(5): 1099-1121.
- 186 Block, J., A.R. Thurik and H. Zhou (2013), What turns inventions into innovative products? The role of entrepreneurship and knowledge spillovers, *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 23(4): 693-718.
- 187 Lasch, F., F. Robert, F. LeRoy and A.R. Thurik (2013), The start-up location decision and regional determinants, in *Cooperation, Clusters, and Knowledge Transfer: Universities and Firms Towards Regional Competitiveness*, J.J.M. Ferreira, M. Raposo, R. Rutten and A. Varga (eds.), (Springer Verlag: Berlin and Heidelberg): 3-17.
- 188 Messegheem, K, S. Sammut, D. Chabaud, C. Carrier et R. Thurik (2013), L'accompagnement entrepreneurial, une industrie en quête de leviers de performance? *Management International*, 17(3): 65-71.
- 189 Thurik, A.R. (2014), Entrepreneurship and the business cycle, *IZA World of Labor*, 90, (doi: 10.15185/izawol.90).
- 190 Rietdijk, W.J.R, I.H.A. Franken and A.R. Thurik (2014), Internal consistency of event-related potentials associated with cognitive control: N2/P3 and ERN/Pe, *PLoS ONE*, 9(7).
- 191 Rietveld, C.A., H. van Kippersluis and A.R. Thurik (2015), Self-employment and health: barriers of benefits?, *Health Economics*, 24(10): 1302-1313.

- 192 Verheul, I., J. Block, K. Burmeister-Lamp, R. Thurik, H. Tiemeier and R. Turturea (2015), ADHD-like behavior and entrepreneurial intentions, *Small Business Economics*, 45(1): 85-101.
- 193 Sanchis Llopis, J.A., J.M. Millán, R. Baptista, A. Burke, S.C. Parker and A.R. Thurik (2015), Good times, bad times: entrepreneurship and the business cycle, *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 11(2): 243-251.
- 194 Scholman, G., A. van Stel and A.R. Thurik (2015), The relationship among entrepreneurial activity business cycles and economic openness, *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 11(2): 307-319.
- 195 Thurik, A.R., (2015), Determinants of entrepreneurship: the quest for the entrepreneurial gene, in *Concise Guide to Entrepreneurship, Technology and Innovation*, D.B. Audretsch, Ch.S. Hayter and A.N. Link (eds.), (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited: Cheltenham, UK): 28-38.
- 196 Landström, H., R. Thurik et F. Lasch (2015), David Storey: un point entre recherche et politique en faveur des petites entreprises, en *Les Grands Auteurs en Entrepreneuriat et PME*, dirigé par Karim Messeghem et Olivier Torrès, (Editions EMS: Cormelles-le-Royal): 387-408.
- 197 Okbay, A. et al. (2016), Genome-wide association study identifies 74 loci associated with educational attainment, *Nature*, forthcoming.
- 198 Ferreira, J., C. Fernandes, M. Raposo, R. Thurik and J. Faria (2016), Entrepreneur location decisions across industries, *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, forthcoming.
- 199 Okbay, A. et al. (2016), Genetic associations with subjective well-being also implicate depression and neuroticism, *Nature Genetics*, forthcoming.
- 200 Thurik, A.R., A. Khedhaouria, O. Torrès and I. Verheul (2016), ADHD symptoms and entrepreneurial orientation of small firm owners, *Applied Psychology*, forthcoming.

Only RUB 220.84/month. Unit 25 : Unreal past, wishes / contrast. STUDY. Flashcards. I wish I . (know) how to set the timer on this video. Where are the instructions? -knew. Complete using although, in spite (of), despite, however or while -In spite. Technology has benefited humankind enormously. There are drawbacks with our reliance on technology, . . -however. I couldn't find my keys . searching for them everywhere. They were but memories. Never could we recapture the great moments of the past. There was an insistent yearning to enjoy life as we once did and a heartbreaking obsession that some new miracle of control would enable us to do it. There was always one more attempt and one more failure. The less people tolerated us, the more we withdrew from society, from life itself. Should you wish them above all else, and be willing to make use of our experience, we are sure they will come. The age of miracles is still with us. Successful in his enterprise, he would have been set on his feet financially which, at the time, seemed vitally important. But his venture wound up in a law suit and bogged down completely. The proceeding was shot through with much hard feeling and controversy. There are three distinct types of I wish/ If only sentences: Wish about the present. Wish about the past. Wish about the future. Wishes about the Present. Wishes about the Past. Wishes about the Future. Wish vs. If only. It's really nice and helpful, but I'd have gone for "I wish I WERE at the beach." instead of WAS. How do you feel about the subjunctive alternative? Thank you for your possible reply. 0. Reply. I have a strong feeling that the key to defeating these naga will lie with that Battlemaiden. Please hurry - I will do everything I can to buy you time. Read the quest and then read what your quest item says: "Use: Attune yourself with a past vision of the Naz'jar Battlemaiden. Requires a location where she lived out a notable event ." Go to where the Blizzard quest helper tells you and you will see a faded image of the naga you're looking for and a sparkly thing.